

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,191	07/25/2003	Gary H. Newman	101120-0003	2692
	7590 05/01/200 MCKENNA, LLP		EXAMINER	
88 BLACK FA	LCON AVENUE		PHAM, MICHAEL	
BOSTON, MA 02210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2167	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	05/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/627,191	NEWMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael D. Pham	2167				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Fe	ebruary 2007.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	i-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
•		• χ				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SR/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) 🖸 Information bisologue officialism(s) (1.19/88/89)					

Detailed Action

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/22/2007 has been entered.

Note

2. The examiner placed a call and voicemail 4/4/2007 at applicant's request (remarks page 11) to conduct an interview with Applicant's representative prior to issue of Office Action.

However, no return call was ever received.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 12/21/06 was filed after the mailing date of the Final Action sent out on 9/22/06. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Specification

4. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is

required: New claims 8, 10 and 11 recite predetermined positions. There does not appear to be such explanation within the specifications.

Claim Objections

5. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: Claims 8, 10 and 11, explanation of the recited terms "predetermined position", as the recitation is not within the specifications nor original claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

6. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

7. Claims 12-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

From MPEP 2106:

a) "USEFUL RESULT"

For an invention to be "useful" it must satisfy the utility requirement of section 101. The USPTO's official interpretation of the utility requirement provides that the utility of an invention has to be (i) specific, (ii) substantial and (iii) credible. MPEP § 2107 and Fisher, 421 F.3d at 1372, 76 USPQ2d at 1230 (citing the Utility Guidelines with approval for interpretation of "specific" and "substantial"). In addition, when the examiner has reason to believe that the claim is not for a practical application that produces a useful result, the claim should be rejected, thus requiring the applicant to distinguish the claim from the three 35 U.S.C. 101 judicial exceptions to patentable subject matter by specifically reciting in the claim the practical application. In such cases, statements in the specification describing a practical application may not be sufficient to satisfy the requirements for section 101 with respect to the claimed invention. Likewise, a claim that can be read so

broadly as to include statutory and nonstatutory subject matter must be amended to limit the claim to a practical application. In other words, if the specification discloses a practical application of a section 101 judicial exception, but the claim is broader than the disclosure such that it does not require a practical application, then the claim must be rejected.

The claims are rejected as falling under the judicial exception of an abstract idea which lacks a useful, concrete, and tangible result. A claimed series of steps or acts that do not result in a useful, concrete, and tangible result are not statutory within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 101. In the instant case the claims recite "manipulating the data to produce". However, no useful, concrete, and tangible result is claimed. For example, "writing said data", "updating said data" "sending said data" being claimed at the end of the claim may comprise a useful, concrete, and tangible result. Absent such a result, however, the claims are not statutory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6295527 by McCormack et. al. (hereafter McCormack) further in view of U.S. Patent 6098067 by Erickson (hereafter Erickson).

Claim 1:

McCormick discloses following claimed limitations:

"B. including, in a group mapping table, one or more fields for the primary grouping criteria and one or more fields for the secondary grouping criteria, and including in those fields, in respective table records, values corresponding to the selected computer profile data that are utilized in the primary grouping and the secondary grouping criteria;"

McCormick discloses col. 8 lines 28-30, group filter criteria table. McCormick further discloses Col. 8 lines 34-36, The group filter criteria table has columns named group filter id, filter metadata id, group id, filter type, and filter value. col. 8 lines 65-67, using this structure, a user can establish a descriptive name for a group of devices, and persistently store either the members of the group, or information sufficient to dynamically construct the members of the group when the user desires to have a view of the group. Hence, McCormick suggests "B. including, in a group mapping table" (group filter criteria table) ", one or more fields for the primary grouping criteria and one or more fields for the secondary grouping criteria" (The group filter criteria table has columns named group filter id, filter metadata id, group id, filter type (could be first grouping criteria), and filter value (could be second grouping criteria)) ", and including in those fields, in respective table records, values corresponding to the selected computer profile data that are utilized in the primary grouping and the secondary grouping criteria" (using this structure, a user can establish a descriptive name for a group of devices, and persistently store either the members of the group, or information sufficient to dynamically construct the members of the group when the user desires to have a view of the group).

Art Unit: 2167

"C. further including, in the respective table records, information that identifies the

Page 6

groups to which the computers that satisfy the primary and secondary criteria are assigned;"

McCormick discloses col. 8 lines 65-67, using this structure, a user can establish a descriptive

name for a group of devices, and persistently store either the members of the group, or

information sufficient to dynamically construct the members of the group when the user desires

to have a view of the group. Hence, McCormick suggests "C. further including, in the

respective table records, information that identifies the groups to which the computers that

satisfy the primary and secondary criteria are assigned" (using this structure, a user can

establish a descriptive name for a group of devices).

"D. receiving, for inclusion in the database, computer profile data from a plurality of

computers;"

McCormick discloses col. 11 lines 5-7 inventory polling process periodically polls the network,

receives information about the network devices, and stores values derived from that information

in a colum of managed device table. Hence, McCormick suggests "D. receiving, for inclusion

in the database, computer profile data from a plurality of computers" (stores network device

information)

"E. for the profile data from a given computer

Extracting the selected profile data that are utilized in the primary groupings and the secondary groupings,

querying the group mapping table to determine if the extracted profile data correspond to the respective values that are included in the primary grouping fields and the secondary grouping fields in any of the records in the table, and

if the query results in no records, assigning the computer to a default group,
if the query results in one table record, assigning the computer to the group that is
named in the record,

if the query results in multiple table records that include secondary low values, assigning the computer to the group that is named in the record that is in a first predetermined position in the order in which the records are returned, or

if the query results in multiple records and there are no corresponding secondary low values in the records, assigning the computer to the group that is named in the record that is in a second predetermined position in the order in which the records are returned; and"

McCormick discloses Col. 12 lines 17-19, applies the query to the database, which stores a super set of network device information. Further disclosing col. 8 lines 59-67-col. 9 lines 1-5, user can establish a descriptive name for a group of devices and store members of the group. Hence McCormick suggest for the profile data from a given computer "Extracting the selected profile data that are utilized in the primary groupings and the secondary groupings" (Col. 12 lines 17-19, applies the query to the database, which stores a super set of network device information),

"querying the group mapping table to determine if the extracted profile data correspond to the respective values that are included in the primary grouping fields and the secondary grouping fields in any of the records in the table" (col. 12 lines 16-21, applies query) and, "if the query results in one table record, assigning the computer to the group that is named in the record" (col. 8 lines 65-67, using this structure user can establish a descriptive name for a group of devices and persistently store the members of the group (i.e. assigns device to group)).

"F. manipulating the data from the database to produce reports that summarize the attributes of the computers in the groups, with each report for a given group including therein the attributes of the computers in the groups"

McCormick discloses col. 16 lines 38-48, displaying a view of selected network devices. Col. 17 lines 10-15, information about the devices in group is displayed to the user. Preferably, the information is organized in a tabular format. Hence, McCormick suggests "F. manipulating the data from the database to produce reports that summarize the attributes of the computers in the groups, with each report for a given group including therein the attributes of the computers in the groups" (displays group information to user).

However, McCormick does not explicitly disclose

"A. determining a multiple node tree structure of groups for the computers based on primary grouping criteria and secondary grouping criteria that correspond to selected computer profile data;"

Art Unit: 2167

And

"a sub-tree with the given group as its root"

However, Erickson discloses the following claimed limitations:

"A. determining a multiple node tree structure of groups for the computers based on primary grouping criteria and secondary grouping criteria that correspond to selected computer profile data;"

And

"a sub-tree with the given group as its root"

Erickson discloses figure 5 the elements within 501 disclose a multiple node tree structure of groups for computers. Further disclosing col. 2 lines 10-11, when executed upon a selected node, the file management system of the present invention applies the actions and variables. Hence, Erickson suggests "A. determining a multiple node tree structure of groups for the computers" (figure 5 elements within 501) "based on primary grouping criteria and secondary grouping criteria that correspond to selected computer profile data" (arranged such that they are based on actions (could be first criteria) and variables (could be second criteria)). And "a sub-tree with the given group as its root" (figure 5).

Both McCormack and Erickson are related in that both group devices together. For the above reasons, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Erickson's disclosure of figure 5 the elements within 501 disclose a multiple node tree structure, where a selected node, the file management system of the present

invention applies the actions and variables to the disclosure of McCormack in order to provide a system that allows a user to easily view different groups in a collapsible fashion.

Claim 2:

McCormack discloses "wherein one or more table records includes secondary grouping values set to NULL" (col. 10 line 41, Null filter values are possible).

Claim 3:

McCormack discloses "wherein the respective values associated with the primary grouping criteria are ranges and the step of determining if the extracted data correspond to the respective values further includes determining if the corresponding extracted data falls within one of the primary grouping ranges" (col. 10 lines 51-55, limit the scope of the filters so that the result set or view is reasonable in size, finite, and rapidly assembled.).

Claim 4:

McCormack discloses "wherein the values associated with the secondary grouping criteria are ranges and the step of determining if the extracted data correspond to the values further includes determining if the corresponding extracted data of interest falls within one of the secondary grouping ranges" (col. 10 lines 51-55, limit the scope of the filters so that the result set or view is reasonable in size, finite, and rapidly assembled.).

Claim 5:

McCormack discloses "wherein the step of querying further includes determining if the extracted data corresponds to the primary grouping criteria and a secondary low value of NULL or the empty string" (col. 10 line 41, Null filter values are possible).

Claim 6:

McCormack discloses "The method of claim 1 wherein the primary and secondary grouping criteria correspond to user-specified values of the selected computer profile data." (abstract line 11, user-entered criteria)

Claim 7:

McCormack discloses the following claimed limitations:

"B. including, in a group mapping table, fields for the ranges of values of the selected computer profile data that are the primary grouping criteria, and including in those fields, in respective table records, high and low values for the ranges of the selected computer profile data;"

McCormick discloses col. 8 lines 28-30, group filter criteria table. McCormick further discloses Col. 8 lines 34-36, The group filter criteria table has columns named group filter id, filter metadata id, group id, filter type, and filter value. col. 8 lines 65-67, using this structure, a user can establish a descriptive name for a group of devices, and persistently store either the members of the group, or information sufficient to dynamically construct the members of the group when the user desires to have a view of the group. Further disclosing col. 10 lines 51-55,

limit the scope of the filters so that the result set or view is reasonable in size, finite, and rapidly assembled. Hence, McCormick suggests "B. including, in a group mapping table" (group filter criteria table) ",values of the selected computer profile data that are the primary grouping criteria" (The group filter criteria table has columns named group filter id, filter metadata id, group id, filter type (could be first grouping criteria), and filter value (could be second grouping criteria)), "for the ranges" (limit) ", and including in those fields, in respective table records, high and low values for the ranges of the selected computer profile data;" (limit scope of filters so that the result set or view is reasonable in size, finite, and rapidly assembled).

"C. further including, in the table records, information that identifies the groups to which the computers that satisfy the primary grouping criteria are assigned;"

McCormick discloses col. 8 lines 65-67, using this structure, a user can establish a descriptive name for a group of devices, and persistently store either the members of the group, or information sufficient to dynamically construct the members of the group when the user desires to have a view of the group. Hence, McCormick suggests "C. further including, in the table records, information that identifies the groups to which the computers that satisfy the primary grouping criteria are assigned" (using this structure, a user can establish a descriptive name for a group of devices).

"D. receiving, for inclusion in the database, computer profile data from a plurality of computers;"

Art Unit: 2167

McCormick discloses col. 11 lines 5-7 inventory polling process periodically polls the network, receives information about the network devices, and stores values derived from that information in a colum of managed device table. Hence, McCormick suggests "D. receiving, for inclusion in the database, computer profile data from a plurality of computers" (stores network device information)

"E. for the profile data from a given computer

Extracting the selected profile data that is utilized in the the primary grouping,

Querying the group mapping table to determine if the extracted data fall within the ranges of values that are included in the primary grouping fields in any of the table records, and

If the query results in no records, assigning the computer to a default group,

If the query results in one table record, assigning the computer to the group that is

named in the record, or

If the query results in multiple table records assigning the computer to the group that is named in the record that is in a first predetermined position in the order in which the records are returned; and"

McCormick discloses Col. 12 lines 17-19, applies the query to the database, which stores a super set of network device information. Further disclosing col. 8 lines 59-67-col. 9 lines 1-5, user can establish a descriptive name for a group of devices and store members of the group. Hence

McCormick suggest for the profile data from a given computer "Extracting the selected profile data that is utilized in the the primary grouping" (Col. 12 lines 17-19, applies the query to the database, which stores a super set of network device information), "Querying the group mapping table to determine if the extracted data fall within the ranges of values that are included in the primary grouping fields in any of the table records" (col. 12 lines 16-21, applies query. Col. 10 lines 50-55, limit scope of filters) and, "if the query results in one table record, assigning the computer to the group that is named in the record" (col. 8 lines 65-67, using this structure user can establish a descriptive name for a group of devices and persistently store the members of the group (i.e. assigns device to group)).

"F. manipulating the data from the data-base to produce reports that summarize the attributes of the computers in the groups, with each report for a given group including therein the attributes of the computers in the groups "

McCormick discloses col. 16 lines 38-48, displaying a view of selected network devices. Col. 17 lines 10-15, information about the devices in group is displayed to the user. Preferably, the information is organized in a tabular format. Hence, McCormick suggests "F. manipulating the data from the database to produce reports that summarize the attributes of the computers in the groups, with each report for a given group including therein the attributes of the computers in the groups" (displays group information to user).

McCormick does not explicitly disclose

Application/Control Number: 10/627,191 Page 15

Art Unit: 2167

"A. determining a multiple node tree structure of groups for the computers based on

primary grouping criteria that correspond to ranges of values of selected computer profile data;"

And

"that are on a sub-tree with the given group as its root."

Erickson discloses figure 5 the elements within 501 disclose a multiple node tree structure of groups for computers. Further disclosing col. 2 lines 10-11, when executed upon a selected node, the file management system of the present invention applies the actions and variables. Hence, Erickson suggests "A. determining a multiple node tree structure of groups for the computers" (figure 5 elements within 501) "based on primary grouping criteria that correspond to ranges of values of selected computer profile data" (arranged such that they are based on actions (a value) and variables (another value). Hence range of values.). And "a sub-tree with the given group as its root" (figure 5).

Both McCormack and Erickson are related in that both group devices together. For the above reasons, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Erickson's disclosure of figure 5 the elements within 501 disclose a multiple node tree structure, where a selected node, the file management system of the present invention applies the actions and variables to the disclosure of McCormack in order to provide a system that allows a user to easily view different groups in a collapsible fashion.

Claim 8:

McCormack discloses the following claimed limitations:

"Including, in the table, fields that correspond to a range of values for computer profile data that are selected as secondary grouping criteria to assign the computers to groups for profile data reporting, and including in the fields in respective table records high and low values for the secondary grouping computer profile data of interest, and"

McCormick discloses col. 8 lines 28-30, group filter criteria table. McCormick further discloses Col. 8 lines 34-36, The group filter criteria table has columns named group filter id, filter metadata id, group id, filter type, and filter value. col. 8 lines 65-67, using this structure, a user can establish a descriptive name for a group of devices, and persistently store either the members of the group, or information sufficient to dynamically construct the members of the group when the user desires to have a view of the group. Further disclosing col. 10 lines 51-55, limit the scope of the filters so that the result set or view is reasonable in size, finite, and rapidly assembled and Col. 17 lines 10-15, information about the devices in group is displayed to the user. Hence, McCormick suggests "Including, in the table, fields that correspond to a range of values for computer profile data that are selected as secondary grouping criteria to" (could be filter value and limits (range) are set for filters.) "assign the computers to groups for profile data reporting" (group is displayed to the user), and including in the fields in respective table records high and low values for the secondary grouping computer profile data of interest, and" (limits).

"For the profile data from a given computer

Further extracting data that correspond to the computer profile data selected for the secondary grouping criteria,

Further querying the table to determine if the further extracted data fall within the secondary grouping criteria ranges included in the table records, and

If the query results in one table record, assigning the computer to the group that is named in the record,

If the query results in multiple table records that include secondary low values, assigning the computer to the group that is named in the record that is in a second predetermined position in the order in which the records are returned,

If the query results in no records, assigning the computer to a default group, or

If the query results in multiple records and there are no corresponding secondary
low values in the records, assigning the computer to the group that is named in the record that is
in a third predetermined position in the order in which the records are returned."

McCormick discloses Col. 12 lines 17-19, applies the query to the database, which stores a super set of network device information. Further disclosing col. 8 lines 59-67-col. 9 lines 1-5, user can establish a descriptive name for a group of devices and store members of the group. Hence McCormick suggest for the profile data from a given computer "Extracting the selected profile data that is utilized in the second grouping profile data of interest" (Col. 12 lines 17-19, applies the query to the database, which stores a super set of network device information), "Querying the table to determine if the extracted data fall within the ranges of values that are included in the primary grouping fields in any of the table records" (col. 12 lines 16-21, applies query. Col.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,191 Page 18

Art Unit: 2167

10 lines 50-55, limit scope of filters) and, "if the query results in one table record, assigning the computer to the group that is named in the record" (col. 8 lines 65-67, using this structure user can establish a descriptive name for a group of devices and persistently store the members of the

group (i.e. assigns device to group)).

Claim 9:

McCormack discloses "wherein the step of querying further includes determining if the extracted

data corresponds to the primary grouping criteria and a secondary low value of NULL or the

empty string" (col. 10 line 41, Null filter values are possible).

Claim 10:

McCormack discloses "wherein the first predetermined position in the order of the records is the

first record found and the second predetermined position in the order of records is the last record

found" (col. 9 lines 50-60, col. 11 lines 18-29, figure 3 element 310. Accordingly, views are set

up in positions where no filtered values are ordered last, not that the first (row having cisco)

contains all filtered values. After rows).

<u>Claim 11:</u>

McCormack discloses "wherein the second predetermined position in the orer of the records is

the first record found and the third predetermined position in the order of records is the last

record found" (col. 9 lines 50-60, col. 11 lines 18-29, figure 3 element 310. Accordingly, views

are set up in positions where no filtered values are ordered last, not that the first (row having cisco) contains all filtered values. After rows).

Claim 12:

A method for managing a database that contains computer profile data for a plurality of computers, the method including the steps of

"Grouping the plurality of computers in groups that are in accordance with user-specified primary grouping criteria and secondary grouping criteria that correspond to respective values of selected computer profile data;"

McCormick discloses Further disclosing col. 8 lines 59-67-col. 9 lines 1-5, user can establish a descriptive name for a group of devices and store members of the group. McCormick further discloses Col. 8 lines 34-36, The group filter criteria table has columns named group filter id, filter metadata id, group id, filter type, and filter value. Col. 12 lines 17-19, applies the query to the database, which stores a super set of network device information. Hence, McCormick suggests "Grouping the plurality of computers in groups that are in accordance with user-specified primary grouping criteria and secondary grouping criteria" (group filter according to filters) "that correspond to respective values of selected computer profile data" (network device information)

"Manipulating the database data to produce summaries of attributes of the computers in a given group."

Page 20

McCormick discloses col. 16 lines 38-48, displaying a view of selected network devices. Col. 17 lines 10-15, information about the devices in group is displayed to the user. Preferably, the information is organized in a tabular format. Hence, suggesting "Manipulating the database data to produce summaries of attributes of the computers in a given group" (displays group information to user).

However McCormick does not explicitly disclose

"nodes of a multiple node tree" and

"and in the groups in the subtree that has the given group as its root"

Erickson discloses figure 5 the elements within 501 disclose a multiple node tree structure of groups for computers. Further disclosing col. 2 lines 10-11, when executed upon a selected node, the file management system of the present invention applies the actions and variables. Hence, Erickson suggests "A. determining a multiple node tree structure of groups for the computers" (figure 5 elements within 501) "based on primary grouping criteria that correspond to ranges of values of selected computer profile data" (arranged such that they are based on actions (a value) and variables (another value). Hence range of values.). And "a sub-tree with the given group as its root" (figure 5).

Both McCormack and Erickson are related in that both group devices together. For the above reasons, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 2167

invention was made to apply Erickson's disclosure of figure 5 the elements within 501 disclose a

multiple node tree structure, where a selected node, the file management system of the present

invention applies the actions and variables to the disclosure of McCormack in order to provide a

system that allows a user to easily view different groups in a collapsible fashion.

Claim 13:

McCormack discloses "wherein the step of grouping further includes re-grouping the plurality of

computers in groups in accordance with different user-specified primary and secondary grouping

criteria" (abstract, network devices that meet user-entered criteria).

<u>Claim 14:</u>

McCormack discloses "wherein the step of grouping further includes grouping the plurality of

computers in groups in accordance with primary and secondary grouping criteria that correspond

to computer profile data that represents selected physical locations of users" (col. 10 line 61,

Geographical location).

Claim 15:

McCormack discloses "wherein the further step of grouping includes re-grouping the plurality of

computers in groups in accordance with primary and secondary grouping criteria that correspond

to computer profile data that represents selected structures within the underlying organization of

users" (col. 10 line 62, name of individual responsible for device).

Art Unit: 2167

Claim 16:

McCormack discloses "wherein the step of grouping further includes grouping computers in

accordance with user-specified primary and secondary criteria that utilize ranges of values for

the selected computer profile data" (col. 10 lines 51-55, limit the scope of the filters so that the

result set or view is reasonable in size, finite, and rapidly assembled).

Claim 17:

McCormack discloses "wherein the step of grouping further includes re-grouping the plurality

of computers in groups in accordance with different user-specified ranges of values of the

selected computer profile data" (col. 10 lines 51-55, limit the scope of the filters so that the result

set or view is reasonable in size, finite, and rapidly assembled).

Claim 18:

McCormack discloses "wherein the respective ranges of values correspond to a selected

structure within the underlying organization of users" (col. 10 lines 51-55, limit the scope of the

filters so that the result set or view is reasonable in size, finite, and rapidly assembled).

Claim 19:

McCormack discloses "wherein the further step of grouping includes re-grouping the plurality

of computers in groups in accordance with primary and secondary grouping criteria that

correspond to other selected structures within the underlying organization of users" (col. 10 line

62, name of individual responsible for device).

Claim 20:

McCormick discloses the following claimed limitations:

"A. collecting means for collecting profile data for a given computer into the database;"

McCormick discloses col. 11 lines 5-7 inventory polling process periodically polls the network,

receives information about the network devices, and stores values derived from that information

in a colum of managed device table. Hence suggesting "A. collecting means for collecting

profile data for a given computer into the database" (storing network device information).

"B. a profile group manager for

Grouping the computers, based on primary and secondary grouping criteria that correspond to respective values of selected computer profile data, and"

McCormick discloses Further disclosing col. 8 lines 59-67-col. 9 lines 1-5, user can establish a descriptive name for a group of devices and store members of the group. McCormick further discloses Col. 8 lines 34-36, The group filter criteria table has columns named group filter id, filter metadata id, group id, filter type, and filter value. Col. 12 lines 17-19, applies the query to the database, which stores a super set of network device information. Hence, McCormick suggests "Grouping the computers, based on primary and secondary grouping criteria" (group filter according to filters) "that correspond to respective values of selected computer profile data" (network device information)

"Manipulating the data in the database to produce reports that summarize the attributes of the computers in the various groups."

McCormick discloses col. 16 lines 38-48, displaying a view of selected network devices. Col. 17 lines 10-15, information about the devices in group is displayed to the user. Preferably, the information is organized in a tabular format. Hence, suggesting "Manipulating the database data to produce summaries of attributes of the computers in a given group" (displays group information to user).

However McCormick does not explicitly disclose "into a specified tree-structure of groups".

Erickson discloses figure 5 the elements within 501 disclose a multiple node tree structure of groups for computers. Hence, Erickson suggests "into a specified tree-structure of groups" (figure 5).

Both McCormack and Erickson are related in that both group devices together. For the above reasons, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Erickson's disclosure of figure 5 the elements within 501 disclose a multiple node tree structure to the disclosure of McCormack in order to provide a system that allows a user to easily view different groups in a collapsible fashion.

Claim 21:

McCormack discloses "wherein the profile group manager

Adds a group mapping table to the database, the group mapping table including a primary grouping criteria field, a secondary grouping criteria field and a group identification field with

the respective table records containing primary grouping criteria and secondary grouping criteria and corresponding group information" (McCormick discloses col. 8 lines 28-30, group filter criteria table. McCormick further discloses Col. 8 lines 34-36, The group filter criteria table has columns named group filter id, filter metadata id, group id, filter type, and filter value. col. 8 lines 65-67, using this structure, a user can establish a descriptive name for a group of devices, and persistently store either the members of the group, or information sufficient to dynamically construct the members of the group when the user desires to have a view of the group.);

"Includes a given computer in a group by (i) extracting from the profile data the data that corresponds to the primary grouping criteria and the secondary grouping criteria," (Col. 12 lines 17-19, applies the query to the database, which stores a super set of network device information) "(ii) querying the group mapping table for records that match the extracted data, and "(col. 12 lines 16-21, applies query)"(iii) assigning a computer to a group that is specified by the group identification field in a record that is determined to match the query" (col. 8 lines 65-67, using this structure user can establish a descriptive name for a group of devices and persistently store the members of the group (i.e. assigns device to group)).

Response to Amendment

- 10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- A. Applicant's asserted against the McCormack reference. That McCormack does not teach a system and method of managing a database of computer profile data that includes establishing a

Art Unit: 2167

database mapping table that contains primary grouping criteria or primary and secondary grouping criteria that are used to assign the computers to groups for reporting purposes. That as an example on page 6 of the application, the primary and secondary grouping criteria may correspond to collected computer profile data such as IP address data, windows domain data, and so forth.

In response, the examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant's. First, examples are not definitions. The mere fact that applicant's state that examples of primary and secondary criteria could be IP address data, windows domain data, etc. does not readily provide the scope of the claim. That is, examples are not definitions. Secondly, as to applicant's assertions directed to a database mapping table that contains primary grouping criteria or primary and secondary grouping criteria used to assign the computers to groups for reporting purposes. The examiner directs applicants towards following. Where McCormack (col. 8 lines 34-38) discloses a group filter criteria table (e.g. database mapping table) that contains various filter criteria such as group filter id, filter metadata id, group id, filter type, filter value. Hence any of the following could be a primary criteria or a primary criteria and secondary criteria. Further, because the criteria is utilized in establishing descriptive name for a group of devices and storing members of groups, McCormack therefore further suggests that these filters are utilized in assigning computers to groups (col. 8 l. 65-67). That because McCormack is able to display the groups of devices and their information that McCormack further suggests reporting the groups (c. 17 l. 10-*15*).

Art Unit: 2167

Conclusion

The prior art made of record, listed on form PTO-892, and not relied upon, if any, is 11. considered pertinent to applicants disclosure.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael D. Pham whose telephone number is (571)272-3924. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cottingham can be reached on 571-272-7079. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Pham Art Unit 2167 W.Y.

Examiner

Cam Y Truong Art Unit 2162 **Primary Examiner**

John Cottingham Art Unit 2167 Supervisor

JOHN COTTINGHAM